

Important point missing

Regarding the proposal to change Camden's Zoning Ordinance to accommodate a group of investors who now own Fox Hill, an important point seems to be missing from the discussion and is our primary concern: if the residential zoning becomes commercial zoning for the proposed Fox Hill Rehab Center or any other use, it is unlikely to ever return to residential zoning, forever changing the nature of the property.

This action would set a precedent for properties anywhere and everywhere within Camden. We understand that the potential rehab center would be a tenant of the current group who owns the property. Who is to say if, when and how often that property's tenants could change hands?

The "goodness" of the proposed rehab facility is totally irrelevant to the discussion. If/when the potential developers of the rehab facility sell/close/rent/sublet/default or abandon the property, Camden will have no control over what happens to this property next, except to insure that another commercial development of unknown consequences may move in and further weaken the residential character of Camden.

We stand opposed to changing Camden's zoning to accommodate the current request by the Fox Hill property owners for commercial development of any kind.

Thanks for your interest.

Sincerely,

Dorie Klein & Dana Strout, Camden